

# **ERNDIM - Quantitative Schemes Quantitative Organic Acids**

Dr. M. Duran
Academic Medical Center
Amsterdam
Lab. Genetic Metabolic Diseases
P.O. Box 22700
NL – 1100 DE Amsterdam
e-mail:
m.duran@amc.nl

Dr. C.W. Weykamp Queen Beatrix Hospital MCA Laboratory P.O. Box 9005 NL – 7100 GG Winterswijk e-mail: c.w.weykamp@skbwinterswijk.nl

# Annual Report ERNDIM-EQAS 2009

# 1. Purpose

The purpose of the ERNDIM External Quality Assurance Scheme for Quantitative Organic Acids is the monitoring of the analytical performance of the quantitative assay of organic acids in urine in laboratories involved in the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with inherited metabolic disorders. For detailed information see <a href="https://www.erndim.org/www.ERNDIMQA.nl">www.erndim.org/www.ERNDIMQA.nl</a>

## 2. Participants

A total of 92 participants took part in the scheme, originating from 20 countries. Four labs did not submit enough results to allow calculation of the annual report and 10 labs did not submit any results. It is reassuring to see that there is a steady increase in the number of participating labs in the scheme, going from 62 in 2005 to 92 in 2009. Apparently more and more labs feel the need to have a reliable quantitative organic acid analysis, which can be most informative in detecting subtle increases of significant organic acids such as ethylmalonic acid in SCAD-deficiency and 3-hydroxyisovaleric acid in biotinidase deficiency. Another important area of quantitative analysis is that of treatment monitoring.

Still the number of labs participating in the qualitative organic acid scheme is twice as high as in the quantitative scheme.

## 3. Design

The Scheme has been designed, planned and coordinated by Dr. Ries Duran as scientific advisor and Dr. Cas Weykamp as scheme organiser, both appointed by the ERNDIM Trust Board. The design includes samples and reports which are connected to provide information with a balance between short-term and long term-reports and between detailed and aggregated information.

#### Samples

The scheme consisted of 8 lyophilised samples, all prepared from the same basic human urine but with various amounts of added analytes. The samples were identical two by two: the pairs, along with the added amounts of analyte and their source are in the table below. The type and level of the analytes were discussed in the Scientific Advisory Board and agreed by the Trust Board. As before, the concentrations varied between the physiological range and the typical pathological range. The latter may be quite high, e.g. in methylmalonic aciduria and ketosis (3-hydroxybutyrate).

Pairs, added amounts (in micromol/L) of organic acids and their source

Analyte	Source	Added to Pair 141 -145	Added to Pair 143-147	Added to Pair 142 -148	Added to Pair 144 -146
D-2-OH-glutarate	Sigma H8378	0	470	188	94
3-Methylglutarate	Sigma M1512	0	143	86	43
3-OH-Butyrate	Aldrich 29,836-0	0	149	299	4966
3-OH-Isovalerate	Brunet	0	377	151	75
3-OH-Propionate	Brunet	0	83	828	166
4-OH-Butyrate	Sigma H3635	0	41	406	81
D,L-Glycerate	Sigma G7274	0	488	245	1627
Ethylmalonate	Sigma E8758	0	29	291	58
Fumarate	Sigma F2752	0	55	28	183
Glutarate	Sigma G4126	0	89	45	298
Glycolate	Sigma G8284	0	489	196	98
Hexanoylglycine	TenBrink, VUMC	0	72	43	22
2-Ketoglutarate	Sigma K2000	0	121	1210	242
Methylmalonate	Sigma M5,405.8	0	496	4966	995
N-acetylaspartate	Sigma A5625	0	389	782	12998
Suberate	Sigma S 5200	0	24	48	806
Tiglylglycine	VU A'dam	0	264	106	53

#### Reports

All data-transfer, the submission of data as well as request and viewing of reports proceeded via the interactive website <a href="www.erndimqa.nl">www.erndimqa.nl</a> which can also be reached through the ERNDIM website (<a href="www.erndim.org">www.erndim.org</a>).

The website supplies short-term and long-term reports. Short-term reports are associated with the eight individual specimens, for which a specific deadline has previously been established for each . Two weeks after the respective deadlines participants can request their reports and thus can update the information on their analytical performance. Although technically not required, a delay time of 14 days has been arbitrarily chosen to enable the scientific advisor to inspect the results and add his comment to the report. In contrast to the rapidly available short-term reports the annual long-term report is based on the designed connection between samples – as described above - which enables to report a range of analytical parameters (accuracy, precision, linearity, recovery and inter-laboratory dispersion) once an annual cycle has been completed.

Another characteristic of the website is the variety of result presentations which allows laboratories to make an individual choice for detailed and/or aggregated reports. The most detailed report which can be requested from the website is the "Analyte in Detail" which shows results of a specific analyte in a specific sample (136 such Analyte-in-Detail-reports could be consulted in the 2009 cycle). A more condensed report is the "Cycle Review" which summarizes the performance of all analytes in a specific sample (8 such Cycle-Review-Reports were available in 2009). The highest degree of aggregation is the Annual Report which summarizes the performance of all analytes of all 8 samples. Depending on the information one wants to obtain one can choose to have a glance at only the annual report (e.g. laboratory managers) or study all 136 detailed reports (person in charge of the workplace, technicians).

## 4. Discussion of Results in the Annual Report 2009

Subsequently we present accuracy, recovery, precision, linearity, interlab CV and cross sectional relations. It may be helpful to print your results of the annual report from the Interactive Website before reading the following comments and keep in mind that we only discuss the results of all labs in general: it is up to you to inspect and interpret the specific results of your laboratory and - where needed – to investigate the cause of unsatisfactory results and to correct the procedure. Whenever serious problems are encountered, contact may be made with your National Representative or eventually with the Scientific Advisor.

## 4.1 Accuracy

A first approach to describe accuracy is to compare the mean outcome in the eight samples in your lab with the mean in all labs. This is shown in the column "Your Lab" and "All labs" under the heading "Accuracy". It can be seen that the mean of all labs for 2-OH Glutaric Acid is 181.

## 4.2 Recovery

A second approach to describe accuracy is the percentage recovery of added analyte. In this approach it is assumed that the recovery of the weighed quantities is the target value. The correlation between weighed quantities as added to the samples (on the x-axis) and the measured quantities (on the y-axis) have been calculated. The slope of the correlation multiplied with 100% is the recovery of the added amounts. The column "Recovery" shows your recovery in the respective organic acids in comparison to the median recovery of all laboratories. The median recovery ranges from 10% 3-OH-propionic acid (we have doubt on the purity of the spiking material used as the recovery was 95% in 2005) to 103% (Hexanoylglycine). The recovery of 4-OH-butyric acid was quite low (74%), possibly as a result of lactone formation, either during the production of the samples of during the extraction / derivatisation. The recoveries of the notoriously bad extracted hydoxyacids such as glycolic acid and glyceric acid is improving over the years, a quite reassuring phenomenon. Conclusions from aggregated data are generalisations which should render the participants to the QC-programs (and even more the end- users of the data) cautious about utilizing data from other labs without asking about proof of reliability. The difficulties we face are certainly a challenge for developing improved methods.

#### 4.2.1 Precision

Reproducibility is an important parameter for quality in the laboratory. The CV is calculated from the pairs of the scheme which can be regarded as duplicates (Intra Laboratory CV as indicator of reproducibility). Since there are only four pairs, the calculated precision can only give an indication about the reproducibility of the individual laboratory. It allows, however, comparison total group of the individual performance with that of the participants. The results in comparison to the median of all labs is shown in the column "Precision" of the Annual Report. Precision ranges from 3.3 % for creatinine to a poor 35.0% for 4-OH-Butyric acid acid with an overall intra-lab CV of 19.2%. The latter figure has a tendency to go downward over the years, which reflects the increasing peroformance of the majority of labs in this respect.

In general the best precision was observed for the simple dicarboxylic acids such as ethylmalonate and glutarate; lower scores of the hydroxyacids may have been the consequence of non-optimal extraction efficacies. Rigorous standardization of the extraction parameters, i.e. pH of the sample and exact volume of extraction solvent may be a way to improve this aspect.

## 4.2.2 Linearity

Linearity over the whole relevant analytical range is another important parameter for analytical quality. The regression has been calculated taking the final measured concentration of the addition as independent (x) variable and the measured concentrations as the dependent (=y). The regression coefficient r of the individual and the median of all labs are shown in the columns "Linearity" of the annual report. It can be seen that the coefficients of regression range from 0.947 for hexanoylglycine to 0.997 for methylmalonic acid.

#### 4.2.3 Interlab CV

For comparison of outcome for one patient in different hospitals and for use of shared reference values it is relevant to have a high degree of harmonization between results of various laboratories. Part of the scheme design is to monitor this by calculating the Interlaboratory CV. This, along with the number of laboratories who submitted results, is shown in the column "Data All labs" in the Annual report. It can be seen that most laboratories submitted results for methylmalonic acid (81) whereas only 51 participated for tiglylglycine. The Inter-lab CV ranges from 5.75 % for creatinine to 91.0% for 3-OH Propionic acid.

#### 4.2.4 Cross Sectional Relations

The various parameters as described above often have an interrelation: often more than one parameter directs towards good or bad analytical control. This pattern is not clearly seen in the organic acids scheme.

## 4.3 Your performance: red and green flags

After some years of discussion and planning a system to judge performance of individual laboratories has been implemented. In the annual report of an individual laboratory red flags indicate poor performance for accuracy, precision, linearity and recovery. Organic acids with satisfactory performance for at least three of the four parameters (thus no or only one red flag or no result) are marked in green. Thus a green mark indicates satisfactory performance for analysis of that particular organic acid while a grey mark together with two or more red flags indicates that your laboratory has failed to attain satisfactory performance for this analyte. Criteria for red flags can be found in the general information on the website (general information; interactive website, explanation annual report).

## 4.4 Poor Performance Policy

A wide dispersion in the overall performance of individual laboratories is evident. Table 2 shows the percentage of red flags observed. 28% of the laboratories have no red flag at all and thus have attained excellent overall performance. In contrast, at the other extreme there are also 5% of laboratories with more than 25% red flags. Following intensive discussion within the ERNDIM board and Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) and taking into account feedback from participants we have been able to agree on a harmonised scoring system for the various branches of the quantitative schemes as described in our Newsletter of Spring 2009. In parallel to this the SAB has agreed levels of adequate performance for all the schemes and these will be reevaluated annually. The scoring systems have been carefully evaluated by members of the SAB and have been applied to assess performance in our schemes from 2007 onwards.

The ERNDIM Board has decided that the Scientific Advisor will judge the performance of the individual laboratories based on these levels of satisfactory performance and issue a letter of failure with advice to achieve satisfactory performance to those laboratories which do not achieve satisfactory performance. The letter is intended to instigate dialogue between the EQA scheme organiser and the participating laboratory in order to solve any particular analytical problems in order to improve quality of performance of labs in the pursuit of our overall aim to improve quality of diagnostic services in this field.

Table 2. Percentage Red Flags

% Red Flags seen in Annual Report	Percentage Labs In this Category	Cumulative Percentage Of Labs
>25%	5%	5%
20 – 25%	3%	8%
15 – 20%	10%	18%
10 – 15%	8%	26%
5 – 10%	18%	44%
0 – 5%	28%	72%
0%	28%	100%

## 4.5 Certificates

As for other schemes the performance as it is indicated by the red/green flags in the individual laboratories annual report is summarised in the new style of annual participation certificate. The certificate lists the total number of organic acids in the scheme, the number for which results have been submitted and the number for which satisfactory performance has been achieved. It is important to bear in mind that the certificate has to be backed up by the individual annual report in the case of internal or external auditing.

## 5 Conclusions & Summary

The high interlab CV demonstrates clearly the major problem in the analysis of organic acids: lack of standardization. Precision with a mean CV of 19.2% is much better indicating that reproducibility within the labs is not too bad. Linearity is also no major problem and recovery is also quite acceptable. In this respect it should be noted that steps are being taken to prepare extra samples which may be used as calibrators, given that the weighed additions and the median calculated values are known. More information will be distributed through the regular ERNDIM-channels. We invite you to review your data carefully and especially study your recoveries. These may give an indication of deviant calibration.

#### 6 Preview Scheme 2010

The 2010 scheme will be similar to 2009 with a small number of modifications such as the addition of pyroglutamate, mevalonate and sebacate to the scheme.

# 7 Questions, Comments and Suggestions

If you have any questions, comments or suggestions, please address to the scientific advisor of the scheme Dr. Ries Duran (<a href="mailto:m.duran@amc.nl">m.duran@amc.nl</a>) and/or the scheme organiser Dr. Cas Weykamp (<a href="mailto:c.w.weykamp@skbwinterswijk.nl">c.w.weykamp@skbwinterswijk.nl</a>). Alternatively you may approach your local National Representative, a list of which is available from ERNDIM.